



INFO-2022-6

Informational Study Report

03/27/2023



Table of Contents

1.0	Summary	5
1.1	INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – PSCo Off-Taker Results	5
1.2	INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – Grand Valley Off-Taker Results	5
1.3	INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – Holy Cross Off-Taker Results	5
2.0	Introduction.....	6
3.0	Study Scope	8
3.1	Study Pockets.....	8
3.2	Study Areas	8
3.3	Study Criteria	8
3.4	Study Methodology.....	9
3.5	Western Colorado WECC Power Transfer Paths.....	10
4.0	Base Case Modeling Assumptions	11
4.1	Base Case Modeling Assumptions – PSCo and Grand Valley Power Off-Takers.....	11
4.2	Base Case Modeling Assumptions – Holy Cross Energy Off-Taker.....	13
5.0	Western Slope Study Pocket Analysis.....	13
5.1	INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – PSCo Off-Taker	13
5.1.1	Benchmark Cases Modeling	13
5.1.2	Study Cases Modeling	13
5.1.3	Steady-State Analysis	14
5.1.4	Affected Systems	16
5.1.5	Summary.....	16
5.2	INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – Grand Valley Power Off-Taker.....	17
5.2.1	Benchmark Cases Modeling	17
5.2.2	Study Cases Modeling	17
5.2.3	Steady-State Analysis	17

5.2.4	Affected Systems	20
5.2.5	Summary	20
5.3	INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – Holy Cross Energy Off-Taker	21
5.3.1	Benchmark Cases Modeling	21
5.3.2	Study Cases Analysis	21
5.3.3	Steady-State Analysis	21
5.3.4	Affected Systems	24
5.3.5	Summary	24
6.0	Cost Estimates and Assumptions	25
6.1	Total Cost of Transmission Provider’s Interconnecting Facilities	25
6.2	Total Cost of Station Network Upgrades	26
6.3	Total Cost of System Network Upgrades	26
6.3.1	INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – PSCo Off-Taker	26
6.3.2	INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – Grand Valley Power Off-Taker	26
6.3.3	INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – Holy Cross Energy Off-Taker	26
6.4	Summary of Costs assigned to INFO-2022-6 as NRIS – PSCo Off-Taker	28
6.5	Summary of Costs assigned to INFO-2022-6 as NRIS – Grand Valley Power Off-Taker	28
6.6	Summary of Costs assigned to INFO-2022-6 as NRIS – Holy Cross Energy Off-Taker	28
6.7	Cost Estimate Assumptions	29
7.0	Appendices	30

List of Tables

Table 1 – Summary of Request for INFO-2022-6 as an NRIS	6
Table 2 – Generation Dispatch Used to Create the Western Slope Benchmark Case	13
Table 3 – Western Slope Study Pocket NRIS Results – Multiple Contingency Analysis	15
Table 4 – Generation Dispatch Used to Create the Western Slope Benchmark Case	17
Table 5 – Western Slope Study Pocket NRIS Results – Multiple Contingency Analysis	19
Table 6 – Generation Dispatch Used to Create the Western Slope Benchmark Case	21
Table 7 – Western Slope Study Pocket NRIS Results – Single Contingency Analysis.....	23
Table 8 – Western Slope Study Pocket NRIS Results – System Network Upgrades	23
Table 9 – INFO-2022-6 Transmission Provider’s Interconnection Facilities.....	25
Table 10 – Station Network Upgrades – INFO-2022-6 138 kV Switching Station.....	26
Table 11 – System Network Upgrades – Western Slope Study Pocket for NRIS	27

List of Figures

Figure 1: Approximate Location of INFO-2022-6 POI.....	7
--	---

1.0 Summary

This report is an informational evaluation of a 52 MW Solar Photovoltaic (PV) Generating Facility with a Point of Interconnection (POI) at a new 138 kV switching station on the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV line. The expected Commercial Operation Date (COD) of the Generating Facility is December 1, 2026. The following studies were performed in this informational study:

1. Generating Facility as a 52 MW of Network Resource Interconnection Service (NRIS) with PSCo as an Off-Taker
2. Generating Facility as a 52 MW of Network Resource Interconnection Service (NRIS) with Grand Valley Power as an Off-Taker
3. Generating Facility as a 52 MW of Network Resource Interconnection Service (NRIS) with Holy Cross Energy as an Off-Taker

This report is an informational evaluation and does not grant any Interconnection Service or Transmission Service. The results are based on the modeling assumptions and study scope specified by the Customer, which may or may not reflect the standard modeling assumptions followed for the LGIP studies.

1.1 INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – PSCo Off-Taker Results

The total cost of the upgrades required to interconnect INFO-2022-6 on the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV line for NRIS is \$19.62 million (Table 9 and Table 10).

1.2 INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – Grand Valley Off-Taker Results

The total cost of the upgrades required to interconnect INFO-2022-6 on the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV line for NRIS is \$19.62 million (Table 9 and Table 10).

1.3 INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – Holy Cross Off-Taker Results

The total cost of the upgrades required to interconnect INFO-2022-6 on the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV line for NRIS is \$19.62 million (Table 9, Table 10, and Table 11).



2.0 Introduction

This report is an informational evaluation of a 52 MW Solar (PV) Generating Facility connecting on the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV line. Since this is an informational study, the study modeled a generic 52 MW Generating Facility that can maintain ± 0.95 power factor at the POI.

A summary and description of the request for INFO-2022-6 as an NRIS are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 – Summary of Request for INFO-2022-6 as an NRIS

INFO#	Resource Type	Service (MW)	Service Type	COD	POI	Location
INFO-2022-6	PV	52	NRIS	12/01/2026	Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV line	Garfield County, CO

3.0 Study Scope

The study was performed using the modeling assumptions specified by the Interconnection Customer (IC).

The scope of the study includes steady-state (thermal and voltage) analysis and cost estimates. The non-binding cost estimates provide total cost responsibility for Transmission Provider Interconnection Facilities (TPIF), Station Network Upgrades, and System Network Upgrades.

Per the Study Request, INFO-2022-6 was analyzed as NRIS.

3.1 Study Pockets

The POI of INFO-2022-6 is located within the Western Slope study pocket.

3.2 Study Areas

The study area for the Western Slope study pocket includes the WECC base case zone 708. The Affected System included in the analysis is Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) transmission system in the study area.

3.3 Study Criteria

The following steady-state analysis criteria is used to identify violations on the PSCo system and the Affected Systems:

P0 - System Intact conditions:

Thermal Loading: $\leq 100\%$ of the normal facility rating

Voltage range: 0.95 to 1.05 per unit

P1 & P2-1 – Single Contingencies:

Thermal Loading: $\leq 100\%$ normal facility rating

Voltage range: 0.90 to 1.10 per unit

Voltage deviation: $\leq 8\%$ of pre-contingency voltage

P2 (except P2-1), P4, P5 & P7 – Multiple Contingencies:

Thermal Loading: $\leq 100\%$ emergency facility rating

Voltage range: 0.90 to 1.10 per unit

Voltage deviation: $\leq 8\%$ of pre-contingency voltage

3.4 Study Methodology

The steady-state power flow assessment is performed using the PowerGEM TARA software. The generation redispatch for ERIS is identified using TARA's Security Constrained Redispatch (SCRD) tool.

Thermal violations are identified if a facility (i) resulted in a thermal loading >100% in the Study Case after the study pocket GIR cluster addition and (ii) contributed to an incremental loading increase of 1% or more to the benchmark case loading.

Voltage violations are identified if a bus (i) resulted in a bus voltage >1.1 p.u. (or <0.9 p.u.) in the Study Case after the study pocket GIR cluster addition and (ii) contributed to an adverse impact of +0.005 p.u. (or -0.005 p.u.) compared to the Benchmark Case voltage.

Distribution Factor(s) (DFAX) criteria for identifying contribution to thermal overloads is $\geq 1\%$. DFAX criteria for identifying contribution to the voltage violations is 0.005 p.u.

When the study pocket has a mix of NRIS and ERIS requests, it is studied by first modeling the NRIS GIRs at their full requested amount and modeling the ERIS GIRs offline. Network Upgrades required to mitigate the thermal and/or voltage violations are only allocated to NRIS requests because other GIR's output is modeled at zero.

The NRIS GIRs and their associated Network Upgrades are then modeled in the NRIS Study Case, and ERIS GIRs are dispatched at 100% to study the system impact. Violations are identified and the study evaluates if a generation redispatch combination eliminates the violation. If generation redispatch is unable to eliminate the violation, upgrades will be identified.

The resources included in the Optimal Power Flow (OPF) redispatch are:

- All PSCo and Non-PSCo resources connected to the PSCo Transmission System
- Higher-queued NRIS generation in the PSCo queue
- Generation connected to an Affected System Transmission System if that generation is a designated network resource to serve load connected to PSCo
- All other generation connected to an Affected System Transmission System and stressed in the Study Case may be dispatched to the Base Case level

Maximum allowable ERIS generation is calculated for each GIR using its DFAX for overloads identified at full output, such that all identified overloads are eliminated.

3.5 Western Colorado WECC Power Transfer Paths

The western Colorado transmission system is bounded by three WECC Power Transfer Paths – TOT5, TOT2A, and TOT1A. TOT5 consists of a group of transmission lines that connect western Colorado and eastern Colorado across the Continental Divide of Colorado. TOT2A is a group of transmission lines that connect southwest Colorado and northwest New Mexico. TOT1A consists of transmission lines that connect northwest Colorado to northeast Utah. PSCo has partial ownership in TOT5 and TOT2A but not in TOT1A. Western Area Power Administration – Rocky Mountain Region (WAPA-RMR) is the path operator for all three paths. The study assumed reasonable flows across TOT5 and TOT2A as identified by WAPA-RMR. This includes a TOT5 west-to-east flow of 1200 MW (for studies with “PSCo Eastern Colorado loads” as the off-taker) and a TOT2A north-to-south flow of 400 MW (for studies with “Holy Cross Energy loads” as the off-taker).

Delivery of power from generation in western Colorado to loads in eastern Colorado will require transmission service across the TOT5 power transfer path. This study did not address that issue. Network Resource Interconnection Service (NRIS) is an interconnection service that allows the Interconnection Customer to integrate its generating facility with the Transmission Provider’s transmission system in a manner comparable to how the Transmission Provider integrates its generating facilities to serve native load customers. At present, if PSCo added generation in Western Colorado to serve native loads in Eastern Colorado, PSCo would need to obtain transmission service from WAPA-RMR, Tri-State G&T or Platte River Power Authority because PSCo does not have Available Transfer Capability west-to-east across TOT5. If the three entities do not have available transfer capability for this transmission service, a transmission service study would be required because “NRIS” does not convey transmission service. The TOT5 west-to-east total transfer capability (TTC) is 1680 MW and transfers west-to-east across TOT5 above the 1680 MW TTC to serve PSCo native loads in Eastern Colorado could require significant transmission upgrades across the Continental Divide of Colorado. These network upgrades would be developed as part of transmission service request study followed by a WECC path rating process and a path allocation process with the other owners of TOT5.

4.0 Base Case Modeling Assumptions

4.1 Base Case Modeling Assumptions – PSCo and Grand Valley Power Off-Takers

The 2026HS2a1 WECC case released on July 31, 2020, was selected as the starting case. The Base Case was created from the Starting Case by including the following modeling changes.

The following approved transmission projects in PSCo's 10-year transmission plan, with an in-service date before summer 2026 were modeled:

http://www.oasis.oati.com/woa/docs/PSCO/PSCOdocs/FERC_890_Q1_2020_Transmission_Plan_Presentation.pdf

- Cloverly 115 kV Substation – ISD 2021
- Graham Creek 115 kV Substation – ISD 2022
- Husky 230/115 kV Substation – ISD 2022
- Mirasol 230 kV Substation – ISD 2022
- Avery Substation – ISD 2021
- Barker Substation – Bank1 ISD: 2021, Bank 2 ISD: 2022
- High Point Substation – ISD 2022
- Titan Substation – ISD 2022
- Dove Valley Substation – ISD 2023
- Stock Show – ISD 2026
- Monument – Flying Horse 115 kV Series Reactor – ISD 2024
- Ault – Husky 230 kV line – ISD 2022
- Husky – Graham Creek – Cloverly 115 kV line – ISD 2022
- Gilman – Avon 115 kV line – ISD 2022
- Climax – Robinson Rack – Gilman 115 kV – ISD 2022
- Greenwood – Arapahoe – Denver Terminal 230 kV – ISD 2022
- Upgrade Villa Grove – Poncha 69 kV Line to 73 MVA – ISD 2021
- Upgrade Poncha – Sargent - San Luis Valley 115 kV line to 120 MVA – ISD 2021
- Upgrade Antonito – Romeo – Old40 Tap – Alamosa Terminal – Alamosa Switchyard 69 kV line to 143 MVA – ISD 2023
- Tundra Switching Station 345 kV – ISD 2022
- Upgrade Allison – SodaLakes 115 kV line to 318 MVA – ISD 2022



The following additional changes were made to the TSGT model in the Base Case per further review and comment from TSGT:

- Fuller – Vollmer 115 kV line modeled at 173 MVA – ISD 2022
- Black Squirrel – Vollmer 115 kV line modeled at 144 MVA – ISD 2022
- Black Squirrel – Black Forest Tap 115 kV line modeled at 144 MVA – ISD 2022
- Beaver Creek – Adena 115 kV line modeled at 114 MVA
- Fuller 230/115 kV, 150 MVA #2 transformer – ISD 2023
- Paddock – Shaw Ranch – Calhan Tap – Santa Fe Springs 115 kV Loop modeled open

The following additional changes were made to the Colorado Spring Utilities (CSU) model in the Base Case per further review and comment from CSU:

- Cottonwood – Tesla 34.5 kV line modeled open and Kettle Creek – Tesla 34.5 kV line modeled closed on the CSU system – ISD 2023
- Briargate South 115/230 kV transformer project tapping the Cottonwood – Fuller 230 kV line – ISD 2023

The Base Case model includes the existing PSCo generation resources and all Affected Systems' existing resources.

In addition, the following higher-queued generation from PSCo's queue were modeled offline in the Base Case along with any System Network Upgrades identified in their corresponding studies.

- Individual GIRs (GI-2014-5, GI-2014-6, GI-2014-7, GI-2014-9, GI-2014-13, GI-2014-14, GI-2016-4, and GI-2016-15)
- Transitional Cluster (GI-2018-24, and GI-2019-6)
- DISIS-2020-001 Cluster
- 2RSC-2020-05 Cluster
- DISIS-2020-002 Cluster
- DISIS-2021-003 Cluster
- DISIS-2021-004 Cluster
- DISIS-2022-005 Cluster

While the higher-queued NRIS requests in the study pocket were dispatched at 100% while performing each study pocket's analysis, the higher-queued ERIS requests were modeled offline.

4.2 Base Case Modeling Assumptions – Holy Cross Energy Off-Taker

The 2026HW2a1 WECC case released on July 31, 2020, was selected as the starting case. The Base Case was created from the Starting Case by including the following modeling changes.

1. Applicable FERC-890 Upgrades to the Western Slope study pocket.
2. Latest FAC-008 rating updates.

While the higher-queued NRIS requests in the study pocket were dispatched at 100% while performing each study pocket’s analysis, the higher-queued ERIS requests were modeled offline.

5.0 Western Slope Study Pocket Analysis

5.1 INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – PSCo Off-Taker

5.1.1 Benchmark Cases Modeling

The Benchmark Case was created from the Base Case by adopting the generation dispatch in Table 2 to reflect heavy generation in the Western Slope study pocket. The WECC TOT5 Path flow in the Benchmark Case was set to 1200 MW.

**Table 2 – Generation Dispatch Used to Create the Western Slope Benchmark Case
(MW is Gross Capacity)**

Bus Number	Bus Name	Voltage (kV)	ID	Status	Pgen (MW)	Pmax (MW)
70180	FRUITA	13.80	G1	1	18.00	20.00
79015	CRAIG 1	22.00	1	1	442.46	470.00
79016	CRAIG 2	22.00	1	1	442.46	470.00
79017	CRAIG 3	22.00	1	1	478.00	478.00
79040	HAYDEN1	18.00	1	1	207.92	212.00
79041	HAYDEN2	22.00	1	1	278.70	285.0
Total					1867.54	1935.00

5.1.2 Study Cases Modeling

An NRIS Study Case was developed from the Benchmark Case by modeling INFO-2022-6 with a POI at a new 138 kV switching station on the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV line. The 52 MW NRIS output of INFO-2022-6 is balanced against all PSCo generation connected to the PSCo Transmission System outside the study pocket on a pro-rata basis.



5.1.3 Steady-State Analysis

Contingency analysis was performed on the Western Slope pocket NRIS Study Case.

No system intact overloads are attributed to INFO-2022-6.

No single contingency overloads are attributed to INFO-2022-6.

Table 3 shows the multiple contingency analysis on the NRIS Study Case. Per TPL-001-4, multiple contingency overloads are mitigated using system adjustments, including generation redispatch (includes GIRs under study) and/or system operator actions. None of the listed multiple contingency overloads are attributed to INFO-2022-6.

Single contingency and multiple contingency analysis showed no voltage violations attributed to INFO-2022-6 as NRIS.



Table 3 – Western Slope Study Pocket NRIS Results – Multiple Contingency Analysis

Overloaded Facility	Type	Owner	Emergency Rating (MVA)	Benchmark Case		NRIS Study Case		Loading % Change Due to Study GIR	Contingency Name ¹
				MVA Flow	% Loading	MVA Flow	% Loading		
EAST PORTAL (73000) - WEST PORTAL (73001) 69 kV CKT #1	Line	WAPA	30.00	63.68	212.25	64.42	214.74	2.49	P7_020a
MARY'S LAKE 115 kV (78066) - MARY'S LAKE 69 kV (73436) Transformer #1	Xfmr	WAPA	30.00	62.33	207.78	63.06	210.19	2.41	P7_020a
EAST PORTAL (73000) - MARY'S LAKE (73436) 69 kV CKT #1	Line	WAPA	30.00	62.00	206.66	62.74	209.13	2.47	P7_020a
WEST PORTAL (73001) - MCKENZIE (73132) 69 kV CKT #1	Line	WAPA	36.00	64.06	177.95	64.81	180.03	2.08	P7_020a
HOPKINS (70231) - BASALT (79003) 115 kV CKT #1	Line	PSCo	95.00	111.77	117.65	114.36	120.38	2.73	BF_135
MCKENZIE (73132) - STILLWATER TAP (73573) 69 kV CKT #1	Line	WAPA	69.00	68.41	100.60	70.17	101.70	1.10	P7_020a

¹ Contingency Definitions corresponding to Contingency Names are given in Appendix A.



5.1.4 Affected Systems

WAPA was identified as an impacted Affected Systems as result of NRIS study overloads on their facilities as listed in Table 3.

5.1.5 Summary

NRIS identified for INFO-2022-6 is 52 MW.

The NRIS study did not identify overloads caused by INFO-2022-6 as a NRIS GIR and, therefore, did not identify suitable System Network Upgrades.

5.2 INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – Grand Valley Power Off-Taker

5.2.1 Benchmark Cases Modeling

The Benchmark Case was created from the Base Case by adopting the generation dispatch in Table 4 to reflect heavy generation in the Western Slope study pocket. The WECC TOT2A Path flow in the Benchmark Case was set to 400 MW.

**Table 4 – Generation Dispatch Used to Create the Western Slope Benchmark Case
(MW is Gross Capacity)**

Bus Number	Bus Name	Voltage (kV)	ID	Status	Pgen (MW)	Pmax (MW)
70180	FRUITA	13.80	G1	1	18.00	20.00
79015	CRAIG 1	22.00	1	1	442.46	470.00
79016	CRAIG 2	22.00	1	1	442.46	470.00
79017	CRAIG 3	22.00	1	1	478.00	478.00
79040	HAYDEN1	18.00	1	1	207.92	212.00
79041	HAYDEN2	22.00	1	1	278.70	285.0
Total					1867.54	1935.00

5.2.2 Study Cases Modeling

An NRIS Study Case was developed from the Benchmark Case by modeling INFO-2022-6 with a POI at a new 138 kV switching station on the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV line. The 52 MW NRIS output of INFO-2022-6 is balanced against all PSCo generation connected to the PSCo Transmission System outside the study pocket on a pro-rata basis.

5.2.3 Steady-State Analysis

Contingency analysis was performed on the Western Slope pocket NRIS Study Case.

No system intact overloads are attributed to INFO-2022-6.

No single contingency overloads are attributed to INFO-2022-6.

Table 5 shows the multiple contingency analysis on the NRIS Study Case. Per TPL-001-4, multiple contingency overloads are mitigated using system adjustments, including generation redispatch (includes GIRs under study) and/or system operator actions. None of the listed multiple contingency overloads are attributed to INFO-2022-6.



Single contingency and multiple contingency analysis showed no voltage violations attributed to INFO-2022-6 as NRIS.



Table 5 – Western Slope Study Pocket NRIS Results – Multiple Contingency Analysis

Overloaded Facility	Type	Owner	Emergency Rating (MVA)	Benchmark Case		NRIS Study Case		Loading % Change Due to Study GIR	Contingency Name ²
				MVA Flow	% Loading	MVA Flow	% Loading		
EAST PORTAL (73000) - WEST PORTAL (73001) 69 kV CKT #1	Line	WAPA	30.00	33.85	112.82	34.18	113.94	1.10	P7_020a
MARY'S LAKE 115 kV (78066) - MARY'S LAKE 69 kV (73436) Transformer #1	Xfmr	WAPA	30.00	32.20	107.34	32.55	108.50	1.20	P7_020a
EAST PORTAL (73000) - MARY'S LAKE (73436) 69 kV CKT #1	Line	WAPA	30.00	31.99	106.62	32.33	107.76	1.10	P7_020a

² Contingency Definitions corresponding to Contingency Names are given in Appendix A.



5.2.4 Affected Systems

WAPA was identified as an impacted Affected Systems as result of NRIS study overloads on their facilities as listed in Table 5.

5.2.5 Summary

NRIS identified for INFO-2022-6 is 52 MW.

The NRIS study did not identify overloads caused by INFO-2022-6 as a NRIS GIR and, therefore, did not identify suitable System Network Upgrades.

5.3 INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – Holy Cross Energy Off-Taker

5.3.1 Benchmark Cases Modeling

The Benchmark Case was created from the Base Case by adopting the generation dispatch in Table 6 to reflect heavy generation in the Western Slope study pocket. The WECC TOT2A Path flow in the Benchmark Case was set to 400 MW.

**Table 6 – Generation Dispatch Used to Create the Western Slope Benchmark Case
(MW is Gross Capacity)**

Bus Number	Bus Name	Voltage (kV)	ID	Status	Pgen (MW)	Pmax (MW)
70180	FRUITA	13.80	G1	1	18.00	20.00
79015	CRAIG 1	22.00	1	1	442.46	470.00
79016	CRAIG 2	22.00	1	1	442.46	470.00
79017	CRAIG 3	22.00	1	1	478.00	478.00
79040	HAYDEN1	18.00	1	1	207.92	212.00
79041	HAYDEN2	22.00	1	1	278.70	285.0
Total					1867.54	1935.00

5.3.2 Study Cases Analysis

An NRIS Study Case was developed from the Benchmark Case by modeling INFO-2022-6 with a POI at a new 138 kV switching station on the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV line. The 52 MW NRIS output of INFO-2022-6 is balanced against all PSCo generation connected to the PSCo Transmission System outside the study pocket on a pro-rata basis.

5.3.3 Steady-State Analysis

Contingency analysis was performed on the Western Slope pocket NRIS Study Case.

No system intact overloads are attributed to INFO-2022-6.

The results of the single contingency analysis on the NRIS Study Case are shown in Table 7.

Xcel PSCo identified single contingency overloads tabulated in Table 7 are mitigated by the System Network Upgrades tabulated in Table 8.

No multiple contingency overloads are attributed to INFO-2022-6.



Single contingency and multiple contingency analysis showed no voltage violations attributed to INFO-2022-6 as NRIS.



Table 7 – Western Slope Study Pocket NRIS Results – Single Contingency Analysis

Overloaded Facility	Type	Owner	Normal Rating (MVA)	Benchmark Case		NRIS Study Case		Loading % Change Due to Study GIR	Contingency Definition
				MVA Flow	% Loading	MVA Flow	% Loading		
GRAND JUNCTION (79034) - STRNELSN (79183) 115 kV CKT #1	Line	TSGT	95.00	86.70	94.42	101.36	106.69	12.30	GRAND JUNCTION (79036) - MONTROSE (79049) 345 kV CKT #1

Table 8 – Western Slope Study Pocket NRIS Results – System Network Upgrades

Network Upgrade	Type	Existing Normal Rating (MVA)	Max Overload (%) on Existing Normal Rating	Minimum Normal Rating Required (MVA)
GRAND JUNCTION (79034) - STRNELSN (79183) 115 kV CKT #1	Line	95.00	106.69	101.36

5.3.4 Affected Systems

TSGT was identified as impacted Affected Systems as result of NRIS study overloads on their facilities as listed in Table 7.

5.3.5 Summary

NRIS identified for INFO-2022-6 is 52 MW.

The NRIS study identified the overloads caused by the INFO-2022-6 as a NRIS GIR and identified suitable System Network Upgrades for the identified overloads.

6.0 Cost Estimates and Assumptions

There are three types of costs identified in the study:

1. Transmission Provider’s Interconnection Facilities (TPIF) which are directly assigned to each GIR regardless of off-taker
2. Station equipment Network Upgrades, which are allocated to each GIR connecting to that station on a per-capita basis per Section 4.2.4(a) of the LGIP regardless of off-taker
3. All System Network Upgrades which are allocated by the proportional impact per Section 4.2.4(b) of the LGIP
 - o System Network Upgrades allocated to INFO-2022-6 as an NRIS with Holy Cross Energy Off-Taker

6.1 Total Cost of Transmission Provider’s Interconnecting Facilities

Table 9 specifies the INFO-2022-6 project’s Transmission Provider’s Interconnection Facilities and the corresponding costs.

Table 9 – INFO-2022-6 Transmission Provider’s Interconnection Facilities

Element	Description	Cost Est. (million)
New 138 kV Switching Station (Approximately 36 line-miles from Collbran and 5 line-miles from Rifle Ute Substation)	Transmission Provider Interconnection Facilities (TPIF) for INFO-2022-6 generation at a new switching station on the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV Transmission Line (3014). This includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dead-end structure (to connect to the Interconnection Customer’s gen-tie) • Disconnect switch • Metering equipment • Surge arrestors • Associated foundations, structures, electrical equipment, bus work, wiring and grounding from the PCO to the POI. 	\$1.80
Total Cost Estimate for Interconnection Customer-Funded, PSCo-Owned Interconnection Facilities		\$1.80

6.2 Total Cost of Station Network Upgrades

The details of the Station Network Upgrades required at the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV line new POI Switching Station are shown in Table 10.

Table 10 – Station Network Upgrades – INFO-2022-6 138 kV Switching Station

Element	Description	Cost Est. (million)
New 138 kV Switching Station (Approximately 36 line-miles from Collbran and 5 line-miles from Rifle Ute Substation)	Install new Switching Station tapping the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV Transmission Line (3014) including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 breaker station • Grading and stormwater controls, and fencing 	\$12.12
	Install required communication in the EEE at the new 128 kV Switching Station	\$1.20
Collbran – Rifle Ute 138 kV Line (3014)	Line tap North side of new 138 kV Switching Station	\$0.80
	Line tap South side of new 138 kV Switching Station	\$0.70
Collbran and Rifle Ute Substations	Remote End Line Protection at Collbran and Rifle Ute Substations	\$1.20
	Siting and Land Rights, Land Acquisition and Permitting	\$1.80
Total Cost Estimate for PSCo-Funded, PSCo-Owned Interconnection Facilities		\$17.82

6.3 Total Cost of System Network Upgrades

6.3.1 INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – PSCo Off-Taker

Steady-state analysis for INFO-2022-6 as an NRIS did not discover any System Network Upgrades in the Western Slope study pocket. There are no System Network Upgrade costs associated with INFO-2022-6 studied as an NRIS.

6.3.2 INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – Grand Valley Power Off-Taker

Steady-state analysis for INFO-2022-6 as an NRIS did not discover any System Network Upgrades in the Western Slope study pocket. There are no System Network Upgrade costs associated with INFO-2022-6 studied as an NRIS.

6.3.3 INFO-2022-6 – NRIS – Holy Cross Energy Off-Taker

Steady-state analysis for INFO-2022-6 as an NRIS discovered System Network Upgrades in the Western Slope study pocket. The System Network Upgrade costs associated with INFO-2022-6 studied as an NRIS request are described in Table 11.



Table 11 – System Network Upgrades – Western Slope Study Pocket for NRIS

Network Upgrade	Type	Existing Normal Rating (MVA)	Max Overload (%)	Minimum Normal Rating Required (MVA)	Cost Est. (million)	Notes
GRAND JUNCTION (79034) TO STRNELSN (79183) 115 kV CKT #1 (Tri-State Owned)	Line	95.00	95.00	101.36	\$0.00	Estimate by TSGT
Total Cost Estimate for PSCo-Funded, PSCo-Owned Network Upgrades					\$0.00	

6.4 Summary of Costs assigned to INFO-2022-6 as NRIS – PSCo Off-Taker

The total cost of the required upgrades for INFO-2022-6 to interconnect at a new INFO-2022-6 138 kV Switching Station on the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV line as NRIS is \$19.62 million.

- **Cost of Transmission Provider’s Interconnection Facilities is \$1.80 million (Table 9)**
- **Cost of Station Network Upgrades is \$17.82 million (Table 10)**
- **Cost of System Network Upgrades is \$0 million**

The list of improvements required to accommodate the interconnection of INFO-2022-6 are given in Table 9 and Table 10. System improvements are subject to revision as a more detailed and refined design is produced.

6.5 Summary of Costs assigned to INFO-2022-6 as NRIS – Grand Valley Power Off-Taker

The total cost of the required upgrades for INFO-2022-6 to interconnect at a new INFO-2022-6 138 kV Switching Station on the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV line as NRIS is \$19.62 million.

- **Cost of Transmission Provider’s Interconnection Facilities is \$1.80 million (Table 9)**
- **Cost of Station Network Upgrades is \$17.82 million (Table 10)**
- **Cost of System Network Upgrades is \$0 million**

The list of improvements required to accommodate the interconnection of INFO-2022-6 are given in Table 9 and Table 10. System improvements are subject to revision as a more detailed and refined design is produced.

6.6 Summary of Costs assigned to INFO-2022-6 as NRIS – Holy Cross Energy Off-Taker

The total cost of the required upgrades for INFO-2022-6 to interconnect at a new INFO-2022-6 138 kV Switching Station on the Rifle Ute – Collbran 138 kV line as NRIS is \$19.62 million.

- **Cost of Transmission Provider’s Interconnection Facilities is \$1.80 million (Table 9)**
- **Cost of Station Network Upgrades is \$17.82 million (Table 10)**
- **Cost of System Network Upgrades is \$0 million (Table 11)**



The list of improvements required to accommodate the interconnection of INFO-2022-6 are given in Table 9, Table 10, and Table 11. System improvements are subject to revision as a more detailed and refined design is produced.

6.7 Cost Estimate Assumptions

The cost estimates are in 2021 dollars with escalation and contingencies applied. Allowances for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) is not included. These estimated costs include all applicable labor and overheads associated with the siting, engineering, design, and construction of these new PSCo facilities. This estimate does not include the cost for any Interconnection Customer owned equipment and associated design and engineering. A level of accuracy is not specified for the estimates.

1. Labor is estimated for straight time only – no overtime included
2. Lead times for materials were considered for the schedule
3. The GIRs are not located in PSCo's retail service territory. Therefore, no costs for retail load metering are included in these estimates
4. PSCo (or it's Contractor) crews will perform all construction, wiring, testing, and commissioning for PSCo owned and maintained facilities
5. Customer will install two (2) redundant fiber optics circuits into the Transmission provider's substation as part of its interconnection facilities construction scope
6. Breaker duty study determined that no breaker replacements are needed in neighboring substations
7. Line outages will be necessary during the construction period. Outage availability could potentially be problematic and extend requested back-feed date
8. Power Quality Metering (PQM) will be required on the Customer's generation tie-line terminating into the POI
9. The Customer will be required to design, procure, install, own, operate and maintain a Load Frequency/Automated Generation Control (LF/AGC) RTU at their Customer Substation. PSCo / Xcel will need indications, readings, and data from the LFAGC RTU

7.0 Appendices

Appendix A: Multiple Contingency Definitions	 Appendix A - Multiple Contingency
--	--